PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No. International filting data (day/month/year) (Earliest) Priority Data (day/month/year) Pror/ GB2004/000213 19/01/2004 12/02/2003 Applicant SAVISO GROUP LTD. This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the explicant according to Aribid 15. A copy is being transmitted to the International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, Item 5 below.			
International application No.	WPP290002	ACTION			
Applicant SAVISO GROUP LTD. This intermational Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau. This international Search Report consists of a total of	International application No.	!			j
This international Search Report has been propered by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Anticle 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	PCT/GB2004/000213	19/01/2004	1	2/02/2003	
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant	•		•.	
This International Search Report has been propaged by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of		•	٠,	<i>;</i> :	٠.
This international Search Report consists of a total of					
This International Search Report consists of a total of	This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being to	en prepared by this International Searc rensmitted to the International Bureau	thing Authority and is transm	litted to the applicant	
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior and document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I. Contain claims were found unsearchable (See Box III). 3. X Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). 4. With regard to the title, X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority, as segested by the applicant. S as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	This International Search Report consist	s of a total ofshe	ets.		
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I. Cartain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, tho text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, where the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, where the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. With regard to the drawings, as the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5. At the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5. as suggested by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	<u> </u>	y a copy of each prior art document of	ted in this report.		
this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleatide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box III). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). With regard to the site, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No	a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, u	UISSE OFFICIANSE PROPERTY AND A THE THE			
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I. Cortain claims were found unsearchable (See Box III). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. With regards to the drawings, a, the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5 as suggested by the applicant, as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to numericant.	this Authority (F	tule 23.1(b)).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •	o
3.	b. With regard to any nucl	eatide and/or smino acid sequence	disclosed in the internations	i application, see Box No. I.	
4. With regard to the title, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	2. Certain claims were fo	ound unsearchable (See Box II).			
the text is approved as submitted by the Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. It is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No	3. X Unity of invention is it	acking (see Box III).			
the text is approved as submitted by the Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. It is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No	4. With regard to the title,		•	•	
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: With regard to the abstract,	1	submitted by the applicant.	•		•
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.			ws:		
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.					•
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	·				
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	,	•			
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		•	•,	•	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.			. •		
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.				•	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.				•	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	e Unit careed to the shottest				
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	1	submitted by the applicant.		, · ,	
6. With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No a. the figure of the drawings, a. the figure No		or board and an artist of the second and the second	this Authority as it appears in ational search report, submit	Box No. IV. The applicant comments to this Authority.	
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No	insy, within one month	<u> </u>	•		
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No	& With regards to the drawings.			•	
as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	o. With regards to the drawings to b	e published with the abstract is Figure	No5		
as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.			•		
as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	ac calented by	this Authority, because the applicant	failed to suggest a figure.	· •	
	as selected by	this Authority, because this figure bet	ter characterizes the invention	on.	

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filled, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filled.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the International application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-5, 13-22, 30-38, 42, 47-53

A method, computer network message controller, gateway and carrier to limit propagation of peer-to-peer messages in other network portions.

2. claims: 6-8, 23-25, 39-41, 54-66

A peer-to-peer network cache, carrier, method and computer program comprising a data store storing data files.

3. claims: 9-12 , 26-29, 43-46, 67-74

A peer-to-peer network cache, carrier, method comprising a data store storing digital fingerprint data for identifying the files and corresponding file source identifiers